

THE DELEGATES TO THE GENERAL CONFERENCE ELECTED.

The Rev. Dr. Boole, and the Prohibition Alliance make a combination and help out three candidates—Gov. Lounsbury, elected and Judge Reynolds defeated.

MIDDLETOWN, Conn., April 6.—While the ministers of the New York East Conference were in session this morning in the audience room of the Methodist Episcopal Church the 101 lay delegates assembled in the chapel adjoining. The only business of any importance that was transacted by either body was the election of delegates to the General Conference. There were secret meetings until late last night, and when the forces met this morning it was thought that the combinations had been secured; but the stormy session of the lay delegates, which lasted over four hours, showed that many of the pledges had been disregarded.

The Rev. Dr. Boole, with the Prohibition Alliance at his back, was in his glory pushing the interests of the third party. Election as delegate to the General Conference is considered a great honor, and although the Alliance had none of its sixty members who was popular enough to be elected by the whole conference, there were a certain doubtful candidates whom the assistance of the Alliance would carry through easily. So eager were some of the aspirants that, although heretofore they had been most bitter enemies of the third party, when Dr. Boole promised them the support of sixty voters, on condition of signing his resolution or promising to vote for it when it was passed upon the laymen without a thought of its inconsistency with previous preaching.

Dr. Boole and the Alliance had promised to help out three candidates. The first thing in the morning he introduced the temperance resolutions before the Conference, explaining that it was in the interest of harmony, and that slightly he signed it. He then referred to the Committee on Temperance, which will report next Monday on this, as on other kindred matters. The sole object of this previousness was to elicit the combination. However, to avoid possible exposure, the signatures were not placed on the resolutions, but were consigned to the names in his inside pocket, never to be seen again, unless those who attended their signatures were to back down. The resolutions are as follows:

Resolved, That more than ever, as ministers and citizens, our attitude toward the liquor traffic is one of uncompromising opposition, and we further declare that, in view of our religious and social responsibilities, we are determined to use every means within our power to bring about the prohibition of the sale of liquor for beverage purposes, and to secure the prohibition of the same. We are determined to use every means within our power to bring about the prohibition of the sale of liquor for beverage purposes, and to secure the prohibition of the same.

Resolved, That more than ever, as ministers and citizens, our attitude toward the liquor traffic is one of uncompromising opposition, and we further declare that, in view of our religious and social responsibilities, we are determined to use every means within our power to bring about the prohibition of the sale of liquor for beverage purposes, and to secure the prohibition of the same.

Resolved, That more than ever, as ministers and citizens, our attitude toward the liquor traffic is one of uncompromising opposition, and we further declare that, in view of our religious and social responsibilities, we are determined to use every means within our power to bring about the prohibition of the sale of liquor for beverage purposes, and to secure the prohibition of the same.

Resolved, That more than ever, as ministers and citizens, our attitude toward the liquor traffic is one of uncompromising opposition, and we further declare that, in view of our religious and social responsibilities, we are determined to use every means within our power to bring about the prohibition of the sale of liquor for beverage purposes, and to secure the prohibition of the same.

Resolved, That more than ever, as ministers and citizens, our attitude toward the liquor traffic is one of uncompromising opposition, and we further declare that, in view of our religious and social responsibilities, we are determined to use every means within our power to bring about the prohibition of the sale of liquor for beverage purposes, and to secure the prohibition of the same.

Resolved, That more than ever, as ministers and citizens, our attitude toward the liquor traffic is one of uncompromising opposition, and we further declare that, in view of our religious and social responsibilities, we are determined to use every means within our power to bring about the prohibition of the sale of liquor for beverage purposes, and to secure the prohibition of the same.

Resolved, That more than ever, as ministers and citizens, our attitude toward the liquor traffic is one of uncompromising opposition, and we further declare that, in view of our religious and social responsibilities, we are determined to use every means within our power to bring about the prohibition of the sale of liquor for beverage purposes, and to secure the prohibition of the same.

Resolved, That more than ever, as ministers and citizens, our attitude toward the liquor traffic is one of uncompromising opposition, and we further declare that, in view of our religious and social responsibilities, we are determined to use every means within our power to bring about the prohibition of the sale of liquor for beverage purposes, and to secure the prohibition of the same.

Resolved, That more than ever, as ministers and citizens, our attitude toward the liquor traffic is one of uncompromising opposition, and we further declare that, in view of our religious and social responsibilities, we are determined to use every means within our power to bring about the prohibition of the sale of liquor for beverage purposes, and to secure the prohibition of the same.

Resolved, That more than ever, as ministers and citizens, our attitude toward the liquor traffic is one of uncompromising opposition, and we further declare that, in view of our religious and social responsibilities, we are determined to use every means within our power to bring about the prohibition of the sale of liquor for beverage purposes, and to secure the prohibition of the same.

Resolved, That more than ever, as ministers and citizens, our attitude toward the liquor traffic is one of uncompromising opposition, and we further declare that, in view of our religious and social responsibilities, we are determined to use every means within our power to bring about the prohibition of the sale of liquor for beverage purposes, and to secure the prohibition of the same.

Resolved, That more than ever, as ministers and citizens, our attitude toward the liquor traffic is one of uncompromising opposition, and we further declare that, in view of our religious and social responsibilities, we are determined to use every means within our power to bring about the prohibition of the sale of liquor for beverage purposes, and to secure the prohibition of the same.

Resolved, That more than ever, as ministers and citizens, our attitude toward the liquor traffic is one of uncompromising opposition, and we further declare that, in view of our religious and social responsibilities, we are determined to use every means within our power to bring about the prohibition of the sale of liquor for beverage purposes, and to secure the prohibition of the same.

Resolved, That more than ever, as ministers and citizens, our attitude toward the liquor traffic is one of uncompromising opposition, and we further declare that, in view of our religious and social responsibilities, we are determined to use every means within our power to bring about the prohibition of the sale of liquor for beverage purposes, and to secure the prohibition of the same.

Resolved, That more than ever, as ministers and citizens, our attitude toward the liquor traffic is one of uncompromising opposition, and we further declare that, in view of our religious and social responsibilities, we are determined to use every means within our power to bring about the prohibition of the sale of liquor for beverage purposes, and to secure the prohibition of the same.

Resolved, That more than ever, as ministers and citizens, our attitude toward the liquor traffic is one of uncompromising opposition, and we further declare that, in view of our religious and social responsibilities, we are determined to use every means within our power to bring about the prohibition of the sale of liquor for beverage purposes, and to secure the prohibition of the same.

Resolved, That more than ever, as ministers and citizens, our attitude toward the liquor traffic is one of uncompromising opposition, and we further declare that, in view of our religious and social responsibilities, we are determined to use every means within our power to bring about the prohibition of the sale of liquor for beverage purposes, and to secure the prohibition of the same.

RELATED HERE

300,000 Has Been Awaiting their Freedom for the Last Twenty-two Years

William A. Kinnally died in Brooklyn in 1867, leaving nearly all his property, which amounted to \$300,000, to an orphan asylum. His executors brought suit for a partition of the real estate which was owned by him. No heirs could be found, and in 1873 the property was turned over to the State Treasurer.

As the story goes, Mr. Kinnally was the son of an English Colonel, who in 1819 was ordered to Canada, and brought with him his two sons, putting them in the care of a French priest at Amherstburg. They were left there when the father returned, in order that they might complete their education. Two or three years later they ran away, and were lost sight of. In after years it was found that they had fled to Michigan, but he could not be traced. William came to Brooklyn. When he died he left to his long-lost sons \$200,000, and about the same amount to others.

Many have claimed the estate since that time. The proceedings began in February, 1888, an action being brought by Thomas Kinnally, upon whose petition John Whalen was appointed executor. The late Judge Barrett directed Mr. Whalen to inquire into the rights of other petitioners. He found that there were three other persons claiming the estate, namely, Mary Powers, Thomas Powers, and Michael Kinnally. They say that they and their father, William A. Kinnally, were the sons of the English Colonel. They base their claim upon being next of kin of James Kinnally, who was a first cousin of the wealthy Brooklynite.

BRING ON YOUR DENUNCIATIONS

The Mayor, the Recorder, the Chamberlain, and Alderman Driver Are Touched

The Sinking Fund Commissioners agreed yesterday to advise the Dock Commissioners to give the Guion steamship line a new lease of Pier 28, North River, for ten years, from May 1 next, at \$31,500 a year. The lease on its face gives no right of renewal to the Guion line, and the city has been offered \$400,000 a year for the pier. On the part of the present lease, it was stated that the city had a clause in the lease giving them the right of renewal was an inadvisable one, and that the lease of the other pier, which was also offered, was a better one. It was admitted that legally the city has a right to lease the pier, but it was also admitted that the city had a clause in the lease giving them the right of renewal was an inadvisable one, and that the lease of the other pier, which was also offered, was a better one. It was admitted that legally the city has a right to lease the pier, but it was also admitted that the city had a clause in the lease giving them the right of renewal was an inadvisable one, and that the lease of the other pier, which was also offered, was a better one.

Mayor Hewitt, Recorder Smyth, Chamberlain Ives, and Alderman Driver were united in the opinion that the city had a right to lease the pier, but it was also admitted that the city had a clause in the lease giving them the right of renewal was an inadvisable one, and that the lease of the other pier, which was also offered, was a better one. It was admitted that legally the city has a right to lease the pier, but it was also admitted that the city had a clause in the lease giving them the right of renewal was an inadvisable one, and that the lease of the other pier, which was also offered, was a better one.

LOVE FIRST AND LAW NEXT

Miss Jacobs Sues Feldstein for Breach of Promise as Well as Blatancy

Ray Jacobs is 29 years old, the daughter of a prosperous shoe merchant of 270 Broadway. She wants \$25,000 damages from Morris Feldstein, hatter, of 672 Third avenue, for breach of promise of marriage, and Howe & Hummel, attorneys at law, are appearing for her father's guardian to bring the suit.

The plaintiff says that after they were solemnly betrothed Feldstein suddenly ceased to visit her, and sent her back the diamond stud she had given him. She spunkily sent him back the diamond stud she had given him. She spunkily sent him back the diamond stud she had given him. She spunkily sent him back the diamond stud she had given him. She spunkily sent him back the diamond stud she had given him. She spunkily sent him back the diamond stud she had given him.

Mr. Isaac Fromme, Mr. Feldstein's counsel, said that he had nothing to say, except that this case may take on a different aspect, unless it becomes known that Miss Jacobs was not the daughter of the shoe merchant. He said that he had nothing to say, except that this case may take on a different aspect, unless it becomes known that Miss Jacobs was not the daughter of the shoe merchant. He said that he had nothing to say, except that this case may take on a different aspect, unless it becomes known that Miss Jacobs was not the daughter of the shoe merchant.

The corner stone of the new building of the Montefiore Home for Invalids, at 188th street and Boulevard, was laid yesterday afternoon by the Rev. Dr. Breckman and addresses were made by the Hon. James A. McKim, Mayor of New York, and by the Hon. James A. McKim, Mayor of New York, and by the Hon. James A. McKim, Mayor of New York.

The eight young men who were admitted into connection with the Conference, after the election, were: James A. McKim, Mayor of New York, and by the Hon. James A. McKim, Mayor of New York, and by the Hon. James A. McKim, Mayor of New York.

The eight young men who were admitted into connection with the Conference, after the election, were: James A. McKim, Mayor of New York, and by the Hon. James A. McKim, Mayor of New York, and by the Hon. James A. McKim, Mayor of New York.

The eight young men who were admitted into connection with the Conference, after the election, were: James A. McKim, Mayor of New York, and by the Hon. James A. McKim, Mayor of New York, and by the Hon. James A. McKim, Mayor of New York.

The eight young men who were admitted into connection with the Conference, after the election, were: James A. McKim, Mayor of New York, and by the Hon. James A. McKim, Mayor of New York, and by the Hon. James A. McKim, Mayor of New York.

The eight young men who were admitted into connection with the Conference, after the election, were: James A. McKim, Mayor of New York, and by the Hon. James A. McKim, Mayor of New York, and by the Hon. James A. McKim, Mayor of New York.

The eight young men who were admitted into connection with the Conference, after the election, were: James A. McKim, Mayor of New York, and by the Hon. James A. McKim, Mayor of New York, and by the Hon. James A. McKim, Mayor of New York.

The eight young men who were admitted into connection with the Conference, after the election, were: James A. McKim, Mayor of New York, and by the Hon. James A. McKim, Mayor of New York, and by the Hon. James A. McKim, Mayor of New York.

The eight young men who were admitted into connection with the Conference, after the election, were: James A. McKim, Mayor of New York, and by the Hon. James A. McKim, Mayor of New York, and by the Hon. James A. McKim, Mayor of New York.

The eight young men who were admitted into connection with the Conference, after the election, were: James A. McKim, Mayor of New York, and by the Hon. James A. McKim, Mayor of New York, and by the Hon. James A. McKim, Mayor of New York.

The eight young men who were admitted into connection with the Conference, after the election, were: James A. McKim, Mayor of New York, and by the Hon. James A. McKim, Mayor of New York, and by the Hon. James A. McKim, Mayor of New York.

The eight young men who were admitted into connection with the Conference, after the election, were: James A. McKim, Mayor of New York, and by the Hon. James A. McKim, Mayor of New York, and by the Hon. James A. McKim, Mayor of New York.

THE SUN, SATURDAY, APRIL 7, 1888

THE SUN, SATURDAY, APRIL 7, 1888

Stocks	Gold	Silver	Exchange	Commodities
U. S. 4% 104 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 5% 105 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 6% 106 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 7% 107 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 8% 108 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 9% 109 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 10% 110 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 11% 111 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 12% 112 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 13% 113 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 14% 114 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 15% 115 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 16% 116 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 17% 117 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 18% 118 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 19% 119 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 20% 120 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 21% 121 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 22% 122 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 23% 123 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 24% 124 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 25% 125 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 26% 126 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 27% 127 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 28% 128 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 29% 129 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 30% 130 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 31% 131 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 32% 132 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 33% 133 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 34% 134 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 35% 135 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 36% 136 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 37% 137 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 38% 138 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 39% 139 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 40% 140 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 41% 141 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 42% 142 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 43% 143 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 44% 144 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 45% 145 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 46% 146 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 47% 147 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 48% 148 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 49% 149 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 50% 150 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 51% 151 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 52% 152 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 53% 153 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 54% 154 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 55% 155 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 56% 156 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 57% 157 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 58% 158 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 59% 159 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 60% 160 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 61% 161 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 62% 162 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 63% 163 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 64% 164 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 65% 165 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 66% 166 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 67% 167 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 68% 168 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 69% 169 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 70% 170 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 71% 171 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 72% 172 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 73% 173 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 74% 174 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 75% 175 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 76% 176 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 77% 177 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 78% 178 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 79% 179 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 80% 180 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 81% 181 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 82% 182 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 83% 183 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 84% 184 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 85% 185 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 86% 186 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 87% 187 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 88% 188 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 89% 189 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 90% 190 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 91% 191 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 92% 192 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 93% 193 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 94% 194 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 95% 195 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 96% 196 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 97% 197 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 98% 198 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 99% 199 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
U. S. 100% 200 1/2	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00

Money in London, 14 1/2 % Cent. Discount in the open market, 15 % Cent. Paris advises gold at \$110.00, and checks on London 25